



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

| APPLICATION NO.  | FI                                  | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/892,681 06/27/2001                                  |                                     | 06/27/2001 | Michael D. Rosenzweig | INTL-0597-US (P11773) | 3968             |  |
| 21906  | 7590                                | 09/06/2006 |                       | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
| TROP PRU   |                                     | •          |                       | DEANE JR, WILLIAM J   |                  |  |
| 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750<br>HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631 |                                     |            |                       | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 11000101.,   | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |            |                       | 2614                  |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)        | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/892,681       | ROSENZWEIG, MICHAEL | . D.         |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit            |              |  |  |
| William J. Deane | 2614                |              |  |  |

|   | William J. Deane   | 2614  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                                  | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (   | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C        | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejecti                         | on.  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   |  | E FIRST REPLY WAS F                                 | ILED WITHIN                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da  | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                               | ns of the date of<br>the appeal. Since     |
| AMENDMENTS  | ht mains to the date of filing - baid  | will mak be autous d to                             |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);   | TE below);  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or  |  |   | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.                                       |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11  | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mnliant Amendment                                   | (PTOL_324)                                 |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  | mphant Amendment                                    | (F 10L-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | lowable if submitted in a separate,  | •   | •  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered, or b)      will will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered and or | Il be entered and an e                              | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: None.   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 5-6, 8-9, 12, 18-27 and 30-38</u> .   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa                              | ils to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacl                             | ned.                                       |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | it does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa                              | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |   | , /  |
| <del></del>   |  | Wallano   | 5-h  |
|   |  | WILLIAM J. DEA<br>PRIMARY EXA                       |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)